## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Tikk-A-	-Wok, Inc. d/b/a Desi Wok
	Plaintiff(s),
vs.	Case Number: 18-CV-570-JED-FHM
Travele Americ	ers Casualty Insurance Company of
7 timeric	Defendant(s).
	JOINT STATUS REPORT
Jury D	Demanded: ⊠ Yes □ No
I.	Summary of Claims:
Desi W filed a policy of busing the breaches to reminde to the control of the con	orwary 19, 2017, Desi Wok suffered a fire and was forced to close for repairs. During the first sixty days after look reopened, its revenue was approximately \$30,000 below comparable figures from prior years. Desi Wok claim with Travelers for insurance benefits under the Extended Business Income Loss provision of the it obtained from Travelers. After multiple demands, Travelers remitted approximately 2% of the actual loss income Desi Wok suffered. Travelers breached its contract of insurance with Desi Wok by failing to a amount owed under the Extended Business Income Loss provision of the insurance policy. Travelers ed its covenant of good faith and fair dealing through its unreasonable investigation of the claim and choice it approximately 2% of the total business income. This conduct also shows reckless disregard toward the of Travelers' insured, Desi Wok, making punitive damages available.  A. Claims to be Dismissed: None at this time.
II.	Summary of Defenses:
investig monies	ff made a claim regarding its premises for fire damage and business loss. Travelers properly performed its gation, calculations, evaluation, and adjustment of the claim. After this process, Travelers has paid also due under the insurance contract. Consequently, Plaintiff's claims of breach of contract and bad faith are the transfer.
	A. Defenses to be Abandoned: None at this time.
III.	Motions Pending (Include Docket Number, Description and Date at Issue): None.
IV.	Stipulations:
	A. Jurisdiction Admitted:   Yes   No (If no, explain.)

	В.	Venue Appropriate:   ✓ Yes   ✓ No (If no, explain.)							
	C.	Facts:							
		• Travelers issued Policy No. 680-0E601655-17-42 to Tikk-A-Wok, Inc.							
		• Tikk-A-Wok, Inc. filed claim #E7L1610.							
		• Travelers remitted payment to Tikk-A-Wok, Inc. under the Extended Business Income Loss provision of the policy in the amount of \$650.88.							
	D.	Law: Oklahoma substantive law applies to this action.							
V.	Pr	Proposed Deadlines:							
	A.	Parties to be Added by: September 2, 2019							
	B.	Proposed Discovery Cutoff Date (4 Months of Discovery Unless Extended by the Court for Good Cause): February 7, 2019							
	C.	Fact Witness Lists to be Exchanged by: September 2, 2019							
	D.	Proposed Date for Expert Reports by Plaintiff and Defendant: October 14, 2019							
VI.	Fe	Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) Discovery Plan							
	A.	Should any changes be made to the timing, form or requirements for disclosures under Rule 26(a)?  ☐ Yes (If yes, explain.)  ☐ No							
	B.	When were or will initial disclosures under Rule 26(a)(1) be made?							
		August 19, 2019.							
		Note that pursuant to Rule 26(a)(1), initial disclosures must be made within 14 days after you confer for the purpose of preparing this discovery plan. All parties are under an affirmative duty to (i) comply with the mandatory disclosure requirements, and (ii) notify the Court of any non-disclosure so that the issue can be promptly referred to a magistrate judge for resolution. Failure of any party to disclose information or failure of any party to bring disclosure issues to the Court's attention in a timely manner may result in sanctions, including prohibiting the use of that information at trial pursuant to Rule 37(c)(1).							
	C.	Should discovery be conducted in phases and/or should discovery be limited at this time to particular subject matters or issues? $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No							
	D.	Should any changes be made in the limitations on discovery imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Local Civil Rules?							

Case 4:18-cv-00570-jed-fhm Document 19 Filed in USDC ND/OK on 08/06/19 Page 3 of 4

	Copy of the Court's ADR Booklet Provided to Clients as Required?								
	Plaintiffs:	⊠ Ye	s $\square$	No					
	Defendants:	⊠ Ye	es 🗆	l No					
XI.	Does this case warran  ☐ Yes (If yes, explain.)  ☑ No	t special	case mana	agement?					
XII.	Do the parties request	t that the	Court ho	old a scheduling conference	e? □ Yes	⊠ No			
		_		by the Court, the Court wil contained in this report.	l, after receiving th	nis report, issue a			
XIII.	<b>Estimated Trial Time</b>	:	3 days						
Jeremy jward ( Alexan amayf FRANI GOO Two W Tulsa, ( Tel: Fax: Attorne	andria G. Mayfield K. Ward, OBA #21400 @tulsalawyer.com ndria G. Mayfield, OB ield@tulsalawyer.com DEN   FARRIS   QUILLIN DDNIGHT + ROBERTS est 2 <sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 900 Dklahoma 74103 918/583-7129 918/584-3814 ys for Plaintiff								
Attorn	ey for Defendant (Name,	OBA #, Fin	m Name, Ad	ddress, City, State, Zip, Phone, Fa	ıx, Email):				
Darrel Ddowr Jacob I	acob R. Daniel W. Downs, OBA #1227 as@soonerlaw.com R. Daniel, OBA #32760 @soonerlaw.com	2	_						

Darrel W. Downs, OBA #12272 Ddowns@soonerlaw.com Jacob R. Daniel, OBA #32760 Jdaniel@soonerlaw.com 400 West Fourth Street P.O. Box 309 Claremore, Oklahoma 74018 Tel: 918/343-4100

Fax: 918/343-4100 Attorneys for Defendant